AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP One Bryant Park New York, New York 10036 (212) 872-1000 (Telephone) (212) 872-1002 (Facsimile) Ira S. Dizengoff Michael P. Cooley Alexis Freeman

Proposed Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

)	
In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
ARCHBROOK LAGUNA HOLDIN	GS LLC, et al., 1	Case No. 11-13292()
)	
Debtor	s.)	Joint Administration Requested
)	•

DEBTORS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS (A) AUTHORIZING, BUT NOT DIRECTING, DEBTORS TO CONTINUE TO ADMINISTER INSURANCE COVERAGE AND (B) AUTHORIZING FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS TO HONOR ALL RELATED CHECKS AND ELECTRONIC PAYMENT REQUESTS

ArchBrook Laguna Holdings LLC ("ArchBrook") and certain of its affiliates, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors"), file this Motion (the "Motion"), for the entry of interim and final orders, substantially in the forms attached hereto as Exhibit A and Exhibit B, respectively, (a) authorizing, but not directing, the Debtors to continue to administer their prepetition insurance coverage policies and practices and (b) authorizing financial

The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal taxpayer identification number, are: ArchBrook Laguna LLC (6166); ArchBrook Laguna Holdings LLC (6156); Chimerica Global Logistics LLC (3745); ArchBrook Laguna West LLC (9631); Lehrhoff ABL LLC (6386); Expert Warehouse LLC (4487); and ArchBrook Laguna New York LLC (5385).

institutions to honor all related checks and electronic payment requests. In support of this Motion, the Debtors submit the Declaration of Daniel J. Boverman, Interim Chief Financial Officer, in Support of the First Day Pleadings (the "*Boverman Declaration*"). In further support of this Motion, the Debtors respectfully state as follows:

Jurisdiction

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).
 - 2. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 3. The statutory bases for the relief requested herein are sections 105(a), 363, 1107 and 1108 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "*Bankruptcy Code*").

Background

- 4. On July 8, 2011 (the "*Petition Date*"), each of the Debtors filed a petition with this Court under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors are operating their businesses and managing their property as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No request for the appointment of a trustee or examiner has been made in these chapter 11 cases and no statutory committees have been appointed or designated.
- 5. Contemporaneously with the filing of this Motion, the Debtors have sought procedural consolidation and joint administration of these chapter 11 cases under the case of ArchBrook Laguna Holdings LLC. A description of the Debtors' businesses, the reasons for filing these chapter 11 cases and the relief sought from this Court to allow for a smooth transition into operations under chapter 11 is set forth in the Boverman Declaration, which is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

Basis for Relief

- 6. In connection with the operation of the Debtors' businesses and the management of their properties, the Debtors maintain a comprehensive insurance program that provides primary coverage, and in certain instances excess or umbrella coverage, related to, among other things, property liability, general liability, directors' and officers' liability, business automobile liability, and employment practices liability (collectively, the "*Insurance Programs*"). A detailed list of the Debtors' policies in effect under the Insurance Programs is attached hereto as **Exhibit C** (collectively, the "*Policies*"). Last year the Debtors' annual premiums together with the associated taxes and fees for the insurance programs (the "*Insurance Premiums*") totaled approximately \$364,954.19.
- 7. The Insurance Programs are essential to the preservation of the value of the Debtors' businesses, properties and assets and in many cases the coverage is required by various regulations, laws and/or contracts that govern the Debtors' business conduct. Failure to pay premiums for the Policies when due may harm the Debtors' estates in several ways, including the loss of insurance coverage and subsequent need to obtain replacement insurance on an emergency basis, likely at a higher price. The Debtors do not believe that there are any outstanding prepetition Insurance Premium obligations as of the Petition Date, with one

.

The workers compensation insurance policies, along with the Debtors' medical and dental benefits are described in further detail in the Debtors' Motion for Entry of Interim and Final Orders (A) Authorizing, but not Directing, Debtors (I) to Pay Certain Prepetition Wages and Reimbursable Employee Expenses, (II) to Pay and Honor Employee Medical and Other Benefits and (III) to Continue Employee Benefits Programs and (B) Authorizing Financial Institutions to Honor all Related Checks and Electronic Payment Requests (the "Employee Wage Motion"). The Debtors do not seek authority to continue to administer their prepetition insurance coverage policies and practices related to workers' compensation, medical, dental and vision benefits under this Motion but rather request such authority as part of the Employee Wage Motion filed contemporaneously herewith.

³ The Insurance Programs provide coverage to the Debtors as well as certain of the Debtors' non-debtor affiliates.

exception. Specifically, all insurance premiums are currently paid with the exception of a *de minimis* premium in the amount of less than \$625.00 and which currently has not been invoiced to the Debtors (the "*Known Prepetition Insurance Premium Obligation*"). In addition, all of the Policies will expire in less than 30 days.

8. The Debtors do not believe that they need Court approval to maintain their existing Policies or to amend, extend or renew them in the ordinary course of business. Nonetheless, in an exercise of prudence, the Debtors seek entry of an order authorizing them, in their discretion, to pay prepetition Insurance Premium obligations, including the Known Insurance Premium Obligation and any others that are discovered to exist, which are necessary or appropriate to maintain insurance coverage in current effect and, at their sole discretion, to amend, extend or renew the necessary insurance coverage.

Relief Requested

9. By this Motion, the Debtors seek entry of interim and final orders substantially in the forms attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and **Exhibit B**, respectively, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 105(a), 363, 1107 and 1108, (a) authorizing, but not directing, the Debtors to (i) continue to pay prepetition Insurance Premium obligations, including the Known Prepetition Insurance Premium Obligation and any others that are discovered to exist, which are necessary or appropriate to maintain insurance coverage in current effect, and (ii) at their sole discretion, to amend, extend or renew insurance coverage in current effect and (b) authorizing financial institutions to receive, process, honor and pay all checks presented for payment and electronic payment requests relating to the foregoing.

Supporting Authority

A. Ample Authority Exists to Continue to Maintain Insurance Coverage

- 10. Courts have generally acknowledged that it is appropriate to authorize the payment (or other special treatment) of prepetition obligations in appropriate circumstances. *See, e.g., In re Ionosphere Clubs, Inc.*, 98 B.R. 174, 175 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1989) (granting authority to pay prepetition wages); *see also Armstrong World Indus., Inc. v. James A. Phillips, Inc.*, (*In re James A. Phillips, Inc.*), 29 B.R. 391, 398 (S.D.N.Y. 1983) (granting authority to pay prepetition claims of suppliers who were potential lien claimants). In authorizing payments of certain prepetition obligations, courts have relied on several legal theories, rooted in Bankruptcy Code sections 1107(a), 1108 and 363(b).
- 11. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107(a) and 1108, debtors in possession are fiduciaries "holding the bankruptcy estate[s] and operating the business[es] for the benefit of [their] creditors and (if the value justifies) equity owners." *In re CoServ, L.L.C.*, 273 B.R. 487, 497 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2002). Implicit in the fiduciary duties of any debtor in possession is the obligation to "protect and preserve the estate, including an operating business's going-concern value." *Id.* Some courts have noted that there are instances in which a debtor can fulfill this fiduciary duty "only... by the preplan satisfaction of a prepetition claim." *Id.* The *CoServ* court specifically noted that the preplan satisfaction of prepetition claims would be a valid exercise of the debtor's fiduciary duty when the payment "is the only means to effect a substantial enhancement of the estate...." *Id.*
- 12. Consistent with the debtor's fiduciary duties, courts have also authorized payment of prepetition obligations under Bankruptcy Code section 363(b) where a sound business purpose exists for doing so. *See, e.g., In re Tropical Sportswear Int'l Corp.*, 320 B.R. 15, 17-18 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 2005) (authorizing payment to critical vendors for prepetition amounts and finding that

a sound business justification existed for payment because the vendors would not do business with the debtors absent the critical vendor status, and the disfavored creditors were not any worse off due to the critical vendor order); *In re Ionosphere Clubs*, 98 B.R. at 175 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1989) (finding that a sound business justification existed to justify payment of prepetition wages); *see also In re James A. Phillips, Inc.*, 29 B.R. at 397 (relying on section 363 to allow contractor to pay prepetition claims of suppliers who were potential lien claimants because the payments were necessary for general contractors to release funds owed to debtors).

- 13. In addition, the Court may authorize payment of prepetition claims in appropriate circumstances based on Bankruptcy Code section 105(a). Section 105(a), which codifies the inherent equitable powers of the bankruptcy court, empowers the bankruptcy court to "issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title." 11 U.S.C. § 105(a). Under section 105(a), courts may permit pre-plan payments of prepetition obligations when essential to the continued operation of the debtor's business. Specifically, the Court may use its power under section 105(a) to authorize payment of prepetition obligations pursuant to the "necessity of payment" rule (also referred to as the "doctrine of necessity").
- 14. The "doctrine of necessity" or the "necessity of payment" rule originated in railway cases and was first articulated in *Miltenberger v. Logansport, C.&S.W.R. Co.*, 106 U.S. 286 (1882). The doctrine was expanded to non-railroad debtors in the mid-century, *see Dudley v. Mealey*, 147 F.2d 268, 271 (2d Cir. 1945) (holding, in a hotel reorganization case, that the court was not "helpless" to apply the rule to supply creditors of non-railroad debtors where the alternative was the cessation of operations), and has long been recognized as precedent within the Second Circuit. *In re Ionosphere Clubs*, 98 B.R. at 176.

- 15. Courts also have permitted postpetition payment of prepetition claims pursuant to section 105(a) in other situations, such as if nonpayment of a prepetition obligation would trigger a withholding of goods or services essential to the debtors' business reorganization plan. *See In re Ionosphere Clubs*, 98 B.R. at 176-77 (finding that section 105 empowers bankruptcy courts to authorize payment of prepetition debt when such payment is needed to facilitate the rehabilitation of the debtor).
- 16. This flexible approach is particularly critical where a prepetition creditor provides vital goods or services to a debtor that would be unavailable if the debtor did not satisfy its prepetition obligations. In *In re Structurlite Plastics Corp.*, 86 B.R. 922, 931 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1988), the bankruptcy court stated that "a bankruptcy court may exercise its equity powers under \$105(a) [of the Bankruptcy Code] to authorize payment of prepetition claims where such payment is necessary to 'permit the greatest likelihood of survival of the debtor and payment of creditors in full or at least proportionately." (citation omitted) The court explained that "a *per se* rule proscribing the payment of prepetition indebtedness may well be too inflexible to permit the effectuation of the rehabilitative purposes of the Code." *Id.* at 932.
- 17. The Debtors submit that it is in the best interests of their estates to continue to maintain the Policies and to pay any outstanding prepetition Insurance Premiums necessary to do so, as well as to revise, extend, supplement or change insurance coverage, as necessary, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363(b)(1).
- 18. Courts in this district and others regularly grant relief similar to that requested herein. *See, e.g., In re TerreStar Networks Inc.*, No. 10-15446 (SHL) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 8, 2010) [Docket No. 88] (authorizing the debtors to maintain their insurance policies and to pay outstanding prepetition amounts); *In re Uno Rest. Holdings Corp.*, No. 10-10209 (MG) (Bankr.

S.D.N.Y. Feb. 17, 2010) [Docket No. 144]; *In re ION Media Networks, Inc.*, No. 09-13125 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. June 23, 2009) [Docket No. 91] (same); *In re DBSD N. Am. Inc.*, No. 09-13061 (REG) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. June 15, 2009) [Docket No. 111] (same); *In re Chemtura Corp.*, No. 09-11233 (REG) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Apr. 13, 2009) [Docket No. 179] (same).

B. Cause Exists to Authorize the Debtors' Financial Institutions to Honor Checks and Electronic Fund Transfers

19. The Debtors represent that they have sufficient availability of funds to pay the amounts described herein in the ordinary course of business by virtue of cash reserves, expected cash flows from ongoing business operations and anticipated access to debtor in possession financing. Contemporaneously with the filing of this Motion, the Debtors have filed a motion seeking approval of a debtor in possession financing facility in an amount up to \$50 million. Also, under the Debtors' existing cash management system, the Debtors represent that checks or wire transfer requests can be readily identified as relating to an authorized payment made pursuant to a Policy. Accordingly, the Debtors believe that checks or wire transfer requests, other than those relating to authorized payments, will not be honored inadvertently and that all applicable financial institutions should be authorized, when requested by the Debtors, to receive, process, honor and pay any and all checks or wire transfer requests in respect of the Insurance Programs.

Reservation of Rights

20. Nothing contained herein is intended or should be construed as an admission as to the validity of any claim against the Debtors, a waiver of the Debtors' rights to dispute any claim, or an approval or assumption of any agreement, contract, or lease under Bankruptcy Code section 365. The Debtors expressly reserve their rights to contest claims related to the Policies under applicable non-bankruptcy law. Likewise, if this Court grants the relief sought herein, any

payment made pursuant to the Court's order is not intended and should not be construed as an admission as to the validity of any claim or a waiver of the Debtors' rights to subsequently dispute such claim.

Waiver of Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and 6004(h)

21. To implement the foregoing successfully, the Debtors seek a waiver of the notice requirements under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and the 14 day stay of an order authorizing the use, sale, or lease of property under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h). Pursuant to Rule 6004(h), "[a]n order authorizing the use, sale, or lease of property other than cash collateral is stayed until the expiration of 14 days after entry of the order, unless the court orders otherwise." As set forth above, the payments proposed herein are essential to prevent potentially irreparable damage to the Debtors' operations, value, and ability to reorganize. Accordingly, the Debtors submit that ample cause exists to justify a waiver of the 14 day stay imposed by Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), to the extent it applies.

Motion Practice

22. This Motion includes citations to the applicable rules and statutory authorities upon which the relief requested herein is predicated, and a discussion of their application to this Motion. Accordingly, the Debtors respectfully submit that this Motion satisfies Rule 9013-1(a) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York.

Notice

23. The Debtors have provided notice of this Motion to: (a) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York; (b) the entities listed on the Declaration Concerning List of the Debtors' 30 Largest Unsecured Claims on an Consolidated Basis filed pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1007(d); (c) GE Capital Commercial Services, Inc., as administrative agent under the Debtors' prepetition credit facility and debtor in possession credit

facility, (d) Latham & Watkins LLP, as counsel to GE Capital Commercial Services, Inc.; (e) the

Internal Revenue Service; (f) the Securities and Exchange Commission; and (g) the United States

Attorney for the Southern District of New York. In light of the nature of the relief requested, the

Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is necessary.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth herein, the Debtors respectfully request (a) entry

of interim and final orders, substantially in the forms attached hereto as Exhibit A and Exhibit

B, respectively, (i) authorizing, but not directing, the Debtors to continue to pay prepetition

Insurance Premium obligations, including the Known Prepetition Insurance Premium Obligation

and any others that are discovered to exist, necessary or appropriate to maintain insurance

coverage in current effect and, at their sole discretion, to amend, extend or renew insurance

coverage in current effect and (ii) authorizing financial institutions to honor all related checks

and electronic payment requests and (iii) grant such other further relief as is just, proper and

equitable.

New York, New York

Dated: July 8, 2011

/s/Ira S. Dizengoff

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

One Bryant Park

New York, New York 10036

(212) 872-1000 (Telephone)

(212) 872-1002 (Facsimile)

Ira S. Dizengoff

Michael P. Cooley

Alexis Freeman

Proposed Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in

Possession

10

EXHIBIT A

Proposed Interim Order

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:	Chapter 11
ARCHBROOK LAGUNA HOLDINGS LLC, et al., 1	Case No. 11-13292 ()
Debtors.	Joint Administration Requested

INTERIM ORDER (A) AUTHORIZING, BUT NOT DIRECTING, DEBTORS TO CONTINUE TO ADMINISTER INSURANCE COVERAGE AND (B) AUTHORIZING FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS TO HONOR ALL RELATED CHECKS AND ELECTRONIC PAYMENT REQUESTS

Upon the motion (the "*Motion*")² of the above-captioned debtors (collectively, the "*Debtors*") for entry of an interim order (this "*Order*") (a) authorizing, but not directing, the Debtors to (i) continue to administer insurance coverage currently in effect and pay any prepetition premiums, taxes and fees related to the Policies and (ii) revise, extend, supplement or change insurance coverage as needed, including authorizing the Debtors to enter into new insurance policies through renewal of the Policies or purchase of new policies, and (b) authorizing financial institutions to honor all related checks and electronic payment requests; and upon the Boverman Declaration; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and it appearing that this proceeding is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and it appearing that venue of this proceeding and this Motion in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that

The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal taxpayer identification number, are: ArchBrook Laguna LLC (6166); ArchBrook Laguna Holdings LLC (6156); Chimerica Global Logistics LLC (3745); ArchBrook Laguna West LLC (9631); Lehrhoff ABL LLC (6386); Expert

Warehouse LLC (4487); and ArchBrook Laguna New York LLC (5385).

² Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Motion.

the relief requested is in the best interests of the Debtors' estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest; and notice of the Motion appearing to be adequate and appropriate under the circumstances; and any objections to the requested relief having been withdrawn or overruled on the merits; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor

IT IS HERBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Motion is granted as set forth herein on an interim basis until such time as the Court conducts a final hearing on this matter (the "Final Hearing Date").
- 2. The Final Hearing Date shall be on ______, 2011 at __:__ a.m./p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time).
- 3. Any objections or responses to this Order becoming a final order shall be filed on or before ______, 2011 at __:__ a.m/p.m (prevailing Eastern Time) (the "Objection Deadline") and served on the following parties: (a) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York; (b) the entities listed on the Declaration Concerning List of the Debtors' 30 Largest Unsecured Claims on an Consolidated Basis filed pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1007(d); (c) GE Capital Commercial Services, Inc., as administrative agent under the Debtors' prepetition credit facility and debtor in possession credit facility, (d) Latham & Watkins LLP, as counsel to GE Capital Commercial Services, Inc.; (e) the Internal Revenue Service; (f) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (g) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; and (h) parties in interest who have filed a notice of appearance in these cases pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002.
- 4. The Debtors shall serve a notice of the Final Hearing Date. Such notice shall be served via first class mail, postage prepaid. No further notice of the Final Hearing Date or of the entry of this Order need be served by the Debtors.

- 5. The Debtors are authorized, but not directed, in their sole discretion, to continue to administer insurance coverage currently in effect and pay any prepetition Insurance Premiums related to the Policies, including the Known Prepetition Insurance Premium Obligation, to the extent that the Debtors determine in their discretion that such payments are necessary or appropriate.
- 6. The Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to revise, extend, supplement or change insurance coverage as needed, including entering into new insurance policies, through renewal of the Policies or purchase of new policies.
- 7. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Motion.
- 8. Notwithstanding the possible applicability of Rules 6004(a) and 6004(h) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure or otherwise, the terms and conditions of this Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.
- 9. The banks and financial institutions on which checks were drawn or electronic payment requests made in payment of the prepetition obligations approved herein are authorized and directed to receive, process, honor and pay all such checks and electronic payment requests when presented for payment, and that all such banks and financial institutions are authorized to rely on the Debtors' designation of any particular check or electronic payment request as approved by this Order.
- 10. Notwithstanding any provision herein to the contrary, the Debtors shall not be authorized to make any payments under this Order from any amounts loaned to the Debtors pursuant to the debtor in possession financing unless such payments or disbursements are

included in the budget contained therein or otherwise authorized to be paid pursuant to the debtor in possession financing agreement.

11.	The Court retains juris	sdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to
the implement	entation of this Order.	
New York,	New York	
Date:	, 2011	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

EXHIBIT B

Proposed Final Order

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	_)	
In re:)	Chapter 11
ARCHBROOK LAGUNA HOLDINGS LLC, et al., 1)	Case No. 11-13292 ()
Debtors.)	Joint Administration Requested

FINAL ORDER (A) AUTHORIZING, BUT NOT DIRECTING, DEBTORS TO CONTINUE TO ADMINISTER INSURANCE COVERAGE AND (B) AUTHORIZING FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS TO HONOR ALL RELATED CHECKS AND ELECTRONIC PAYMENT REQUESTS

Upon the motion (the "*Motion*")² of the above-captioned debtors (collectively, the "*Debtors*") for entry of an order (this "*Order*") (a) authorizing, but not directing, the Debtors to (i) continue to administer insurance coverage currently in effect and pay any prepetition premiums, taxes and fees related to the Policies and (ii) revise, extend, supplement or change insurance coverage as needed, including authorizing the Debtors to enter into new insurance policies through renewal of the Policies or purchase of new policies, and (b) authorizing financial institutions to honor all related checks and electronic payment requests; and upon the Boverman Declaration; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and it appearing that this proceeding is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and it appearing that venue of this proceeding and this Motion in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that the relief

The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal taxpayer identification number, are: ArchBrook Laguna LLC (6166); ArchBrook Laguna Holdings LLC (6156); Chimerica Global Logistics LLC (3745); ArchBrook Laguna West LLC (9631); Lehrhoff ABL LLC (6386); Expert Warehouse LLC (4487); and ArchBrook Laguna New York LLC (5385).

² Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Motion.

requested is in the best interests of the Debtors' estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest; and notice of the Motion appearing to be adequate and appropriate under the circumstances; and any objections to the requested relief having been withdrawn or overruled on the merits; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Motion is granted to the extent set forth herein.
- 2. The Debtors are authorized, but not directed, in their sole discretion, to continue to administer insurance coverage currently in effect and pay any prepetition Insurance Premiums related to the Policies, including the Known Prepetition Insurance Premium Obligations, to the extent that the Debtors determine in their discretion that such payments are necessary or appropriate.
- 3. The Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to revise, extend, supplement or change insurance coverage as needed, including entering into new insurance policies, through renewal of the Policies or purchase of new policies.
- 4. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Motion.
- 5. Notwithstanding the possible applicability of Rules 6004(a) and 6004(h) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure or otherwise, the terms and conditions of this Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.
- 6. The banks and financial institutions on which checks were drawn or electronic payment requests made in payment of the prepetition obligations approved herein are authorized and directed to receive, process, honor and pay all such checks and electronic payment requests when presented for payment, and that all such banks and financial institutions are authorized to

rely on the Debtors' designation of any particular check or electronic payment request as approved by this Order.

- 7. Notwithstanding any provision herein to the contrary, the Debtors shall not be authorized to make any payments under this Order from any amounts loaned to the Debtors pursuant to the debtor in possession financing unless such payments or disbursements are included in the budget contained therein or otherwise authorized to be paid pursuant to the debtor in possession financing agreement.
- 8. The Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation of this Order.

New York, New York	
Date:, 2011	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

EXHIBIT C

Schedule of Insurance Policies

Insurance Company	Type of Policy	Term.	Annual Premium	Subject to Audit
C N A Insurance	Commercial Package	08/01/2010-07/31/2011	\$93,540.56	No
C N A Insurance	General Liability Coverage	08/01/2010-07/31/2011	\$70,677.00	Yes
C N A Insurance	Business Auto	08/01/2010-07/31/2011	\$2,066.63	No
C N A Insurance	Workers' Compensation	08/01/2010-07/31/2011	\$139,357.00	Yes
C N A Insurance	Umbrella Insurance	08/01/2010-07/31/2011	\$29,574.00	No
Zurich American Insurance Company	Management Liability	08/01/2010-07/31/2011	\$16,633.00	No
C N A Insurance	Ocean Cargo	08/01/2010-07/31/2011	\$5,000.00	Yes
C N A Pro	Foreign Liability	08/01/2010-07/31/2011	\$2,188.00	No
C N A Insurance	Crime Pack Policy	08/01/2010-07/31/2011	\$5,918.00	Yes, right reserved